

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/748,899

Applicant(s)

HUIBERS, ANDREW G.

Examiner

David N. Spector

Art Unit

2873

## All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) David N. Spector (Primary Examiner)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Gregory R. Miur (Attorney for Applicant(s))

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 13 May 2004

Time: ~12:15pm

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-89

Prior art documents discussed:


## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet and Attachment (Draft Amendment)

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DAVID SPECTOR  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

---

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
EXAMINER CONTACTED MR. MUIR TO DISCUSS A RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT FOR THIS CASE, AS ORIGINALLY FILED. EXAMINER AND MR. MUIR AGREED TO OBVIATE SAID REQUIREMENT BY AMENDING ALL OF THE INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 39, 56, 63, AND 84 TO RECITE AN INSTANCE OF A/THE "SPACER POSITIONED WITHIN THE PLURALITY OF MICROMIRRORS" RECITED IN INDEPENDENT CLAIM 22, AS ORIGINALLY FILED. MR. MUIR THEN PREPARED A DRAFT AMENDMENT (ATTACHED HERETO) INCLUDING THE ABOVE NOTED CLAIMS AND FAXED SAID AMENDMENT TO THE EXAMINER. IT WAS FURTHER AGREED THAT THE CHANGES TO THE CLAIMS WOULD BE ENTERED UNDER AN EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT. THE EXAMINER ALSO CORRECTED A VARIETY OF TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS IN THE CLAIMS UNDER SAID EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT, IN CONSULTATION WITH MR. MUIR..